

**From:** [Morrison@chadevanswronglyconvicted.org](mailto:Morrison@chadevanswronglyconvicted.org)

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 11, 2015 4:01 PM

**To:** [Liz Markhlevskaya, Foster's Daily Democrat](#)

**Subject:** Today's article about Chad Evans Resolution HR10 to be heard tomorrow at Legislative hearing

Dear Liz,

Thank you for your article about Chad's case and the resolution, HR10.

I am out of the country, or I would have called you before writing this email.

First, I attach a copy of my written testimony which I submitted this morning to the Chair of the House Judiciary Committee, Robert Rowe.

I hope you will be able to attend the hearing as you will be able to talk with Chad's father, brother and sister and a supporter, Linda Dalessandri, who will be testifying. Perhaps more importantly, you may be able to talk further with Jeff Strelzin.

About Mr. Strelzin's belief that the information in the resolution would not have made any difference, etc., please know that the jury DID see one photograph of Cassidy. That photograph showed Cassidy as happy and without bruises. Fortunately, her grandmother testified that the photo was taken on October 1, 2000 at the grandmother's house, because that was the day that she moved to another home. Attached is a copy of that photo. There were six assault charges against Chad and each was for a designated period, one of which included October 1. The jury found Chad NOT GUILTY for that assault charge and it appears obvious that it was because of the photograph.

At Chad's website, and at the end of my book about Chad's case are a number of other photographs of Cassidy during the time that Chad was allegedly abusing her. Please take a look. The webpage is [http://www.chadevanswronglyconvicted.org/who\\_amanda\\_and\\_kassidy.html](http://www.chadevanswronglyconvicted.org/who_amanda_and_kassidy.html). A copy of my book is attached. I gave a copy to Rod Doherty, so maybe it is still in the Foster's building somewhere.

About another bit of information in the resolution, I'll ask you. Do you think the jury would have been interested to hear testimony from the director of Chad's son's day care facility that Chad had asked, just a few days before her death, about enrolling Cassidy. Is that what abusers and murderers do?

The Resolution refers to the family gathering on Sunday, November 5, four days before Cassidy died. On the 5th, Chad took Cassidy to his sister's and he gave Amanda Bortner \$300 to purchase clothes for Cassidy. Wouldn't the jury have been interested to hear that?

The next two paragraphs of your article are most important, and I hope in a subsequent article you will present the facts, after considering my input below.

*He said the Attorney General's Office had already reexamined Evans' case a couple years ago, when it conducted a polygraph test, a voice stress lie detector test and a statement analysis. Strelzin said the results of the polygraph test were inconclusive, and he denied Evans' claim that he passed the voice stress test that analyzed whether Evans was telling the truth about circumstances of Cassidy's death.*

*According to Strelzin, the statement analysis test — in which an expert analyses Evans' statements to police — concluded he was not truthful.*

Please know that:

1. The A.G.'s Office did NOT conduct a polygraph test of Chad. It was conducted by an unpaid volunteer, and former NH State policeman who had just completed polygraph school. Unfortunately, he conducted the test incorrectly and the results were inconclusive, according to a State Police review that I requested of the exam.

Most importantly, the A.G.'s office supports the Dept. of Correction's refusal to allow Chad to take another polygraph exam. Please see what I wrote in my written testimony about that.

2. Attached is a copy of the report of Chad's 2011 voice stress exam. He most definitely passed, as you can see. I can put you in touch with the examiner, John Alden of New York, a former NY State trooper, if you wish. The test results are on Chad's website

at <http://www.chadevanswronglyconvicted.org/documents/ChadEvansVoiceStressAnalysisLieDetectorTest100726Public.pdf>. Also attached is a list of the questions he was asked, and the answer to every question was NO.

3. It is interesting what Mr. Strelzin said about a "statement analysis test." To my knowledge, no such test or review has been performed by the New Hampshire State Police, and that organization DOES have people who know how to do that work. Mr. Strelzin may be referring to a person from Maine who claimed to be able to do such tests, but I have no idea about his credentials. To my knowledge, he is not an "expert." I put that man's analysis on Chad's website in the interest of full disclosure, but I certainly didn't expect the Attorney General's office to rely upon it to support a wrongful conviction.

Again, if Mr. Strelzin has such a "statement analysis" report done by a true expert, I'd like to see it. Please ask him to show you such an analysis. We have a volunteer supporter of Chad who is a statement analysis expert who would look forward to reviewing whatever the State has created.

Again, thank you for your article, and I hope that your interest in this case continues. Please do not hesitate to ask me any questions you wish. Also, please consider visiting Chad for an interview.

Very sincerely,

Morrison

Morrison Bonpasse